

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 005284.00196 5158 David Hung 10/607,142 06/27/2003 EXAMINER 38732 12/02/2004 KENNEDY, SHARON E CYTYC CORPORATION **85 SWANSON ROAD** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOXBOROUGH, MA 01719 3762

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/\/
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,142	HUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharon Kennedy	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 October 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 18-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		<u> </u>	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(PTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07272004</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please reupdate the specification, "Related Applications" section, to include the new patent number. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to arguments: It is agreed that there is no requirement to update the specification to include the patent number, however, the examiner is no longer able to write in the updated information since creation of the IFW system. If applicant does not care if the patent number is printed or not, that is fine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 18-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jeter et al., US 5,683,420. See the comments set forth in the previous office action.

Double Patenting

Claims 18-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 51 of copending Application No. 09/907,931.

Claims 18-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 27-29 (withdrawn from prosecution) of copending Application No. 09/565,642.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,142

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 18-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 33-42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,398,765.

Claims 18-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,629,936.

Regarding the double patenting rejections, see the comments set forth in the previous rejections.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains that the structure recited by the prior art is identical to the claimed structure. It is well established that the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571/272-4955.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon Kennedy Sharon Kennedy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3762